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Abstract. Lee and Hwang proposed a multi-stage \((t, n)\) secret sharing scheme which has fewer public values than previous schemes. In their scheme, a group of participants share multiple secrets stage-by-stage, and only one secret share should be kept by each participant. However, in this article, the authors will show that multiple secrets cannot in fact be reconstructed stage-by-stage by the secret holder’s determination and that the secret holder cannot determine the values of the secrets in Lee and Hwang’s scheme. Moreover, their scheme belongs to the family of one-time-use schemes. At the same time, we shall also modify their scheme to improve the above weaknesses and show the improved scheme can be applied. The security of the proposed scheme is based on the factorization problem which provides higher security confidence than using the hash function in Harn’s and Chang et al.’s multi-stage secret sharing schemes.
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1. Introduction

The first secret sharing schemes based on the Lagrange interpolating polynomial and linear projective geometry were proposed by Shamir [24] and Blakley [2], respectively. In \((t, n)\) secret sharing schemes, a secret is usually shared among \(n\) participants, and at least \(t\) or more participants can collaborate to reconstruct the secret, but only \(t - 1\) or fewer participants will not be enough [24]. Based on those properties, secret sharing plays an important role in the modern cryptography [5–8, 17, 21] (e.g., opening bank vaults, signing corporate cheques). However, there is a common drawback in most secret sharing schemes: they belong to the family of one-time-use schemes (See [19] for a more detailed description). Thus, when some particular secrets have been reconstructed, it is required that the secret holder redistributes a fresh share over a secret channel to each participant. Obviously, to redistribute shares is a very costly process.

In 1994, He and Dawson [15] proposed a multi-stage secret sharing scheme based on one-way functionality. They used the public shift value technique to hide the true share and the successive applications of a one-way function to make the secrets reconstructed stage-by-stage among \(n\) participants. The \(k\) secrets can be reconstructed one by one in a pre-determined order, and the reconstruction of secrets at earlier stages does not reveal or weaken the secrecy of the remaining secrets. Later, He and Dawson proposed an alternative type secret sharing, which is called the dynamic multi-secret sharing scheme [16]. In a dynamic multi-secret sharing scheme, at least \(t\) participants should work in accordance with the secret holder’s public information to reconstruct the secrets. However, \(kn\) public values are required in He and Dawson’s scheme. In order to reduce the public values, Harn [13] proposed another multi-stage secret sharing scheme with only \(k(n - t)\) public values.

In 2000, Chien et al. [11] proposed a multi-secret scheme based on systematic block codes. In their scheme, the secrets are reconstructed in parallel with each other. Though their scheme has fewer public values than previous schemes [13, 15, 16], Yang et al.
Threshold feature. Any \( t \) out of \( n \) share holders can collaborate to reconstruct \( S_t \), but it is impossible to reconstruct \( S_1 \) with the knowledge of \( t - 1 \) or fewer secret shares.

- **Determine the values of secrets.** The secret holder can arbitrarily determine the value of \( S_t \).
- **Multi-stage feature.** The secrets will be reconstructed in such predetermined order as \( S_{k-1}, S_{k-2}, \cdots, S_0 \) by the secret holder’s domination, and the reconstruction of secrets at earlier stages does not reveal or weaken the secrecy of the remaining secrets.
- **Multi-use feature.** When some particular secrets have been reconstructed, it is not required that the secret holder redistribute a fresh share over a secret channel to each participant.
- **Efficient.** Each participant only has to keep one secret share.

The proposed scheme satisfies the above features and its security is based on the factorization problem. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall briefly review Lee and Hwang’s scheme. At the same time, we shall also show that the weaknesses of their scheme. In Section 3, we shall propose a new multi-stage secret sharing by modifying Lee and Hwang’s scheme. In Section 4, we shall analyze the security and properties of our scheme. Finally, we shall draw our conclusion in Section 5.

2. The Weaknesses of Lee and Hwang’s Scheme

We first review Lee and Hwang’s scheme and then show its weaknesses. Their scheme is composed of two phases as follows:

(1) **The secrets and shares generation phase:**
The trusted Secret Holder (SD) computes \( n = p \times q, p = 2p' + 1 \) and \( q = 2q' + 1 \) where \( p, q, p' \) and \( q' \) are primes, and then SD defines \( \lambda(n) = 2p'q' \). Let \( \alpha \) be a primitive element in both \( \mathbb{F}(p) \) and \( \mathbb{F}(q) \), and randomly choose an integer \( L \) with \( \gcd(L, \lambda(n)) = 1 \). The parameters \( n \) and \( L \) are public, and the others are secret. The \( k \) secrets \( S_i \) (for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, k - 1 \)) are computed by the following equation:

\[
S_i = \alpha^{dL^i} \mod n,
\]

where \( d \) is a random odd integer with \( \gcd(d, \lambda(n)) = 1 \). Let \( A (|A| = n) \) be the set of all participants in the system and any subset \( B (|B| = t) \) in \( A \). SD randomly chooses a secret polynomial \( f(x) \mod \lambda(n) \) of degree \( t - 1 \) and \( f(0) = d \). Then, SD distributes...
to each participant \( u_i \) \((i \in A)\) a public odd integer \( i \) with an even \( f(x_i) \) [12] and a secret share \( K_i \) as:

\[
K_i = \alpha^{\alpha_i} \mod n, \text{ where } \quad s_i = \left( \prod_{j \in A, j \neq i} (x_i - x_j) \right)^{\alpha_i^2} \mod p'q'. \quad (2)
\]

(2) **The secrets reconstruction phase:**
To reconstruct the secret \( S_i \) (for \( i = k - 1, k - 2, \cdots, 0 \)), each \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) must compute a value \( K_{i,l} \) as follows:

\[
K_{i,l} = K_i \prod_{j \in B, j \neq i}^L (x_i - x_j) \mod n. \quad (3)
\]

Then \( S_i \) can be reconstructed as:

\[
\prod_{i \in B} K_{i,l} = \prod_{i \in B} K_i \prod_{j \in B}^L \prod_{j \neq i} \left( x_i - x_j \right) \mod n
\]

\[
= \alpha^L(0) \prod_{j \in B}^L \sum_{i \in B} \prod_{j \neq i} \left( x_i - x_j \right) \mod n
\]

\[
= \alpha^L(0) L^L \mod n
\]

\[
= S_i \mod n.
\]

In the next multi-stage secret sharing, the SD only needs to choose a new public value \( L' \) with \( \gcd(L', \lambda(n)) = 1 \). In the following, we show that the SD cannot determine the values of secrets and their scheme does not satisfy multi-stage feature and multi-use feature, respectively.

**Determine the values of secrets:**
In the general secret sharing schemes of polynomial [24], the SD chooses the polynomial one of whose constant terms is the secret. So the SD can arbitrarily determine the value of the secret. However, in Lee and Hwang’s scheme, the SD computes the secrets in Equation (1), and \( S_i \) is determined by using the exponent \( dL' \) of \( \alpha \). In other words, the SD cannot determine what the value of \( S_i \) is.

**Multi-stage feature:**
When \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) provides the pseudo share in Equation (3) but not in the special order desired: \( K_i, K_{i,k-1}, K_{i,k-2}, \cdots, K_i,0 \) \((i \in B)\), the secrets will not be reconstructed in that special order: \( S_{k-2}, \cdots, S_0 \). For example, when \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) first provides his/her secret value \( K_i,0 \) \((i \in B)\), \( S_0 \) can be easily reconstruct by Equation (4). Then, they need not provide other pseudo shares \( K_i,k-1, K_{i,k-2}, \cdots, K_i,0 \), and the remaining secrets \( S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_{k-1} \) can be revealed form knowing \( S_0 \) by computing the following equation (for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, k - 2 \)):

\[
S_{i+1} = S_i^{L_i} \mod n.
\]

**Multi-use feature:**
When \( S_0 = \alpha^{dL'} = \alpha^d \mod n \) is reconstructed, every \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) has the knowledge of value \( \alpha^d \mod n \). In the next multi-stage secret sharing, the SD publishes a new \( L' \) such that \( \gcd(L', \lambda(n)) = 1 \) and prepares the secret \( S'_i \) (for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, k - 1 \)) as follows.

\[
S'_i = \alpha^{dL'n} \mod n.
\]

Therefore, every \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) can use \( S_0 = \alpha^d \mod n \) to obtain any secret by the SD’s computation in the above equation without other \( t - 1 \) participants’ cooperation. Hence, SD should choose another polynomial \( f'(x) \) where \( f'(0) = d' \) and a fresh share \( K'_i \) in Equation (4) for each \( u_i \) \((i \in A)\). I thinks that this error is only one typo or careless in preparing the secrets in Equation (1). If the last secret \( S_0 \) is removed, no one can obtain \( \alpha^d \mod n \) from \( \alpha^{dL'} \mod n \) if the factors of \( n \) are unknown.

### 3. The Proposed Scheme

To ensure \( k \) secrets \( S_i \) be reconstructed in such special order as \( S_{k-1}, S_{k-2}, \cdots, S_0 \), and to make sure the SD can determine the value of \( S_i \) without redistributing \( K_i \), we only modify in Lee and Hwang’s scheme a bit. Our scheme is also composed of two phases as follows:

(1) **The secrets and shares generation phase:**
The parameters \( (n, p, q, p', q', \lambda(n), \alpha, L, d, f(x)) \) in our new scheme are the same as those in Lee and Hwang’s scheme. The SD first chooses the secrets \( \hat{S}_i \) \((i = k - 1, k - 2, \cdots, 0)\) and computes a value \( \tilde{S}_{k-1} \) as:

\[
\tilde{S}_{k-1} = \alpha^{dL'} \mod n.
\]

The SD computes a public value \( P_{k-1} \) as:

\[
P_{k-1} = \tilde{S}_{k-1} \oplus S_{k-1}.
\]
Then, the SD computes \( \hat{S}_i \) and public values \( P_i \) (for \( i = k - 2, k - 3, \ldots, 0 \)) as:

\[
\hat{S}_i = \alpha^{d_i - L \cdot S_{i+1}} \mod n \\
P_i = \hat{S}_i \oplus S_i.
\]

The public odd integer \( x_i \) and secret share \( K_i \) in Equation (2) for each participant \( u_i \) \((i \in A)\) are the same as those in Lee and Hwang’s scheme.

(2) The secrets reconstruction phase:
To reconstruct the secrets, each participant \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) first computes \( K_{i,k-1} \) as:

\[
K_{i,k-1} = K_i \prod_{j \in B}^{L} x_j \cdot \prod_{j \in B} (0 - x_j) \mod n.
\]

Then, \( \hat{S}_{k-1} \) can be computed as:

\[
\prod_{i \in B} K_{i,k-1} = \alpha \prod_{j \in B}^{L} x_j \cdot \prod_{j \in B} (0 - x_j) \mod n
\]

\[
= \alpha^{d \cdot L} \mod n
\]

\[
= \alpha^{d} \mod n
\]

\[
= \hat{S}_{k-1} \mod n.
\]

The secret \( S_{k-1} \) can be derived as:

\[
S_{k-1} = P_{k-1} \oplus \hat{S}_{k-1}.
\]

When each participant \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) obtains \( S_{k-1} \), they can use it to reconstruct \( S_{k-2}, S_{k-3}, \ldots, S_0 \) stage by stage (for \( l = k - 2, k - 3, \ldots, 0 \)) as follows:

\[
K_{i,l} = K_i \prod_{j \in B}^{L} x_j \cdot \prod_{j \in B} (0 - x_j) \mod n.
\]

Then, \( \hat{S}_l \) can be computed as:

\[
\prod_{i \in B} K_{i,l} = \alpha \prod_{j \in B}^{L} x_j \cdot \prod_{j \in B} (0 - x_j) \mod n
\]

\[
= \alpha^{d \cdot L \cdot S_{i+1}} \mod n
\]

\[
= \alpha^{d \cdot L \cdot S_{i+1}} \mod n
\]

\[
= \hat{S}_l \mod n.
\]

The secret \( S_l \) can be derived as:

\[
S_l = P_l \oplus \hat{S}_l.
\]

After reconstructing all secrets, the SD needs not redistribute a fresh share for the next secret sharing. The SD only chooses a new public value \( L' \) with \( \gcd(L', \lambda(n)) = 1 \). Because there are already numerous works to detect cheating and identify the cheater \([3, 10, 14, 18, 22, 23, 25]\), we do not reiterate this issue here.

4. Discussions
Here are some discussions to show the properties and security of our multi-stage secret sharing scheme as follows:

- **Threshold feature.** According to the property of Shamir’s secret sharing scheme, we know that any \( t \) out of \( n \) participants can easily reconstruct the secrets by using the Lagrange interpolating polynomial, but \( t - 1 \) or fewer participants are not enough.

- **Determine the values of secrets.** In our scheme, we make use of the exclusive-OR operation to enable the SD to determine the values of the secrets. Then, each participant works according to the public values \( P_i \) \((i = k - 1, k - 2, \ldots, 0)\) to obtain the corresponding secrets \( S_i \) \((i = k - 1, k - 2, \ldots, 0)\).

- **Multi-stage feature.** Each participant \( u_i \) \((i \in B)\) has to reconstruct the secret \( S_{k-1} \) first and then uses it to reconstruct the next secret \( S_{k-2} \), and so on and so forth. In other words, the reconstruction of the current secret depends on that of the previous secret. Otherwise, they cannot reconstruct the secret. The secrets are certainly reconstructed in such special order \( S_{k-1}, S_{k-2}, \ldots, S_0 \). To derive \( d \) from \( \alpha^{d \cdot S_{i+1}} \) \((l = k - 2, k - 3, \ldots, 0)\) is difficult because \( \alpha^{d \cdot S_{i+1}} \) is a primitive element in both \( \mathbb{GF}(p) \) and \( \mathbb{GF}(q) \). For the same reason, it is difficult to derive \( d \) form \( \alpha^{d \cdot L} \). In addition, the parameter \( \alpha \) is secret in the system.

- **Multi-use feature.** After reconstructing all the secrets, the secret share \( K_i \) is still unknown, and \( S_i \neq \alpha^{d} \mod n \). The SD needs not distribute a fresh share to each participant any more. If two secrets \( S_{i+1} \) and \( S_i \) are reconstructed, the adversary can obtain the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{S}_i &= \alpha^{d \cdot L \cdot S_{i+1}} \mod n \\
\hat{S}_{i-1} &= \alpha^{d \cdot L \cdot S_i} \mod n
\end{align*}
\]
If $\gcd(L \cdot S_{i+1}, L \cdot S_i) = 1$, the value $\alpha^S \mod n$ can be revealed by the Euclidean algorithm. However, since the values $L \cdot S_{i+1}$ and $L \cdot S_i$ have the common factor $L$, $\gcd(L \cdot S_{i+1}, L \cdot S_i) \neq 1$. For the same reason, if $\gcd(S_{i+1}, S_i) = 1$, the value $\alpha^S \mod n$ can be revealed. However, the secret $\hat{S}_{k-1}$ has been reconstructed before.

On the other hand, if an adversary tries to obtain $K_i$ from Equations (5) and (6), the adversary has to face the intractability of the factorization of $n$. However, it is difficult to obtain $(L)^{-1} \mod \lambda(n)$ and $(S_{i+1})^{-1} \mod \lambda(n)$ if the factors of $n$ are unknown.

- **Efficient.** Each participant only keeps one secret share $K_i$ to reconstruct $k$ secrets.

From the above discussions, the proposed scheme satisfies the requirements of the multi-stage secret sharing scheme which stated in Section 1. We have no confer to detect cheating and identify the cheater. There are already numerous works on this issue [3, 10, 14, 18, 22, 23, 25] and can easily employed in our scheme.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have given some modifications to Lee and Hwang’s scheme to make it qualified as an ideal multi-stage secret sharing scheme, and the secret holder can arbitrarily determine the secret to conform to the requirements in practice. Further, the security of the proposed scheme is based on the factorization problem which provides higher security confidence than using the hash function in Harn’s and Chang et al.’s multi-stage secret sharing schemes.
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